

TEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Jonathan Drimmer Direct 202.429.6477 jdrimmer@steptoe.com MEMO ENDORSED

1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-1795 Tel 202.429.3000

> ⊤Fax 202.429.3902 ∵√ sτeproe.com

DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC#:

DATE FILED:

August 20, 2010

VIA FACSIMILE (212-805-6326)

The Honorable Colleen McMahon United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street. Room 14C New York, New York 10007

Re: SEC v. Kelly, et al., No. 1:08-cv-04612 (CM) (GWG)

Dear Judge McMahon:

We, the undersigned counsel, represent Defendants J. Michael Kelly, Steven Rindner, and Mark Wovsaniker in the above-referenced action commenced by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on May 19, 2008.

On July 27, 2010, the SEC filed its opposition to the Defendants Motions for Summary Judgment and Rule 56.1 Statements of Undisputed Fact. The SEC's opposition included responses to the Defendant's briefs and Rule 56.1 filings. It also included nearly 1000 exhibits, and a Statement of Additional Material Facts that exceeded 200 pages, with some 600 numbered paragraphs. The following day, July 28, 2010, the SEC filed the exhibits themselves. Shortly thereafter, the SEC provided defendants with a disk containing some, but not all, of the filed exhibits, as well as an amended Statement of Additional Material Facts to correct errors in its original filing. After the Defendants notified the SEC of additional errors and lack of completeness in the exhibits that were provided to them, on August 11, 2010, the SEC provided defendants with a new set of exhibits. That new set appears to include 56 exhibits that had not previously been provided, and corrections to 32 other exhibits previously provided (although the SEC has not identified which exhibits constituted corrections and which did not).

Given the procedural issues associated with the SEC's filings, and the number of additional corrections recently made by the SEC – which necessitate substantial additional analysis – Defendants respectfully request an extension of two weeks, until September 10, 2010, to submit their reply filings in support of summary judgment. Given the length of the SEC's filings, Defendants also seek an additional five (5) pages for their reply memoranda (for a total

of fifteen (15) pages per defendant). This is the first requested extension for this filing, and it will not impair any other deadlines. The SEC has consented to these requests for relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Drimmer

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20036

Tel: (202) 429-6477 Fax: (202) 429-3902

Counsel for Steven E. Rindner

Stephen G. Topetzes

K & L GATES LLP

1601 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006

Tel: (202) 778-9328

Fax: (202) 778-9100

Counsel for Mark Wovsaniker

cc: Jack Worland, Esq. (via fax)

Jonathan R. Tuttle

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

mother R Tutle 100

555 13th Street, NW Washington, DC 20004

Tel: (202) 383-8124

Fax: (202) 383-8118

Counsel for J. Michael Kelly